

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/784,353 | 10/784,353 02/23/2004 | | Kenneth L. Miller | 075234.0131 | 2325 | | |
| 5073 | 7590 | 04/19/2006 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| BAKER BO | | | HSU, F | HSU, RYAN | | | |
| 2001 ROSS AVENUE SUITE 600 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| DALLAS, 7 | ΓX 7520 | 1-2980 | 3714 | | | | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 04/19/2006 | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|--------------------|--|
| 10/784,353 | MILLER, KENNETH L. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Ryan Hsu | 3714 | |

| zororo aro r milg or an rippour ziror | Examiner | Art Unit | 1 |
|--|---|---|--|
| · | Ryan Hsu | 3714 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 10 April 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL | LOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: | wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c | idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin | g date of the final reject | ion. |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | | ET INOT NEI ET WAOT | ILLD WITTING |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ice action; or (2) a |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | ns of the date of ne appeal. Since |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | · | () | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) | nsideration and/or search (see NO | | ecause |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or | • • | ducing or simplifying | the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally rei | ected claims. | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) |): | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | ll be entered and an e | explanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-29</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fa | ils to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attacl | ned. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | it does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowa | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | · / | ·· — / | |
| 13. | | M. HOTALING, II | |
| | JOHI PRA | MARY EXAMINER | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: At least consideration of the limitation "wherein the player is required to choose a number of chosen races equal to the predetermined quantity of the event's races" would require further consideration and/or an additional search because the limitation is different from the claimed scope previously considered..

NOHN M HOTALING, II PHIMARY EXAMINER